	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Sumn	10/587,088	KOBAYASHI ET AL
Examiner-induted interview Summ	Examiner	Art Unit
	JOHN MABRY	1625
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) JOHN MABRY.	(3)	
(2) Gary C. Cohn.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 April 2010	Time: 2:20 pm	
Type of Interview;		
☐ Video Conference		
Personal (Copy given to: Applicant	Applicant's representative)	

# Part I.

. ....

Rejection(s) discussed: Written description for the term "aryl" and obviousness double patenting over US 7,541,307.

## Claims discussed:

1 and 3

## Prior art documents discussed:

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

none

#### Part II

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

### Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/John Mabry/ Examiner, Art Unit 1625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)